HONOR VIOLATION CASES Case Flow Chart

CASE INITIATION

Person suspects an honor violation;

incident is reported to an IO. IO begins investigation. IO makes appointment with student, sends student packet of general Honor Council information, and gives contact information for Honor Council Student Consultant.

STUDENT CONSULTANT INTERACTION

Student consultant talks with accused student and discusses information on Honor Council and hearing procedures, and answers any questions.

INVESTIGATION

IO conducts general research on the incident (obtains relevant interviews and papers), decides if incident should be referred to a hearing board or if student should be offered expedited sanction. then completes an "Honor Council Incident Report Sheet."

HEARING

IO decides student merits being offered expedited sanction. If Executive Board offers sanction and student accepts, skip to Dean's Decision. Introductions IO, Faculty, Student Presentations Deliberation Communication of Decision. Student is found "in violation" and sanction is recommended.

APPEAL

The student has one week to file an appeal for a new hearing, if there were substantive **irregularities during the first hearing** Appeal is not granted. IO decides incide should not be referred to a hear matter is closed.

Student is found not in violation, case is dropped.

Appeal is granted. Student receives new hearing.

DEAN'S DECISION

Student's dean is forwarded hearing board's recommendation. Dean finds sanction appropriate; sanction is not changed.

SANCTION REDUCTION OPTIONAL

Students receiving "Notation on Transcript" or "Letter of Censure" can go through sanction reduction process to have these reduced in 2 years. Dean, in consultation with Honor Council chairs, finds sanction inappropriate; sanction is changed.